IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA, THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.NARAYANA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL No. 180 of 2005

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 24/01/2005 in WPMP No. 29133 of 2004 in WP No. 22228 OF 2004 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

1. Gorre Sambasiva Rao, S/o.Subba Rao,
MPTC, Satuluru I, Nadendla Mandal, Guntur District.
2. Garapati pullaiah, S/o.Lakshmaiah,
MPTC, Girijavolu, Nadendla Mandal, Guntur District.
3. Chittala Chinna Ranga Rao, S/o.Lingaiah,
MPTC, Endugupalem Village, Nadendla Mandal, Guntur District.
4. Sirikonda Veeraiah, S/o.Veera Swamy,
MPTC, Sankuratripadu, Nadendla Mandal, Guntur District.
5. N.Ratnakumari, W/o.Sundar Raju,
MPTC, Kanaparru Village, Nadendla Mandal, Guntur District.
6. Gangireddy Soureelu, W/o.Koti Reddy (Late),
MPTC, Tubadu village, Nadendla Mandal, Guntur District.
7. Godugunoori Rami Reddy, S/o.Balakoti Reddy,
MPTC, Chandavaram Village, Nadendla Mandal, Guntur District.
8.Gurram Rama Devi, w/o.Upendra,
MPTC, Appapuram Village, Nadendla Mandal, Guntur District.
9. Musugu Sobharani, W/o.Raja Rao,
MPTC, Satuluru II, Nadendla Mandal, Guntur District.

..... APPELLANTS

AND

1. The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Mandal Parishad, Nadendla, Guntur District.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Guntur.
3 .The District Collector & Magistrate, Guntur District, Guntur.

4. N.Seethamma, W/o.Brahmaiah,
R/o.Nadendla, Guntur District.

.....RESPONDENTS.

Counsel for the Appellants: Mr. M.RAVINDRANATH REDDY

Counsel for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: MR.SRINIVAS DAMMALAPATI

Counsel for Respondent No.3: Government Pleader for Revenue

Counsel for Respondent No.4: Mr.K.V.Rajendra Prasad.

The Court made the following :

ORAL JUDGMENT:

(per Honourable Sri Devinder Gupta, the Chief Justice)

The Appeal is against the interim order, by which, the learned Single Judge directed that any work to be executed will be subject to the result of the writ petition.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that in view of the said order passed by the learned Single Judge, all works have been stopped by the official respondents and, in case, the works are not continued and completed, the entire funds will stand lapsed on 31.3.2005.

There is hardly any ground to interfere in the appeal, and, the only course left open for us is to request the learned Single Judge to hear and dispose of the writ petition expeditiously. Accordingly, we direct that the Writ Petition be posted before the learned Single Judge for being heard and decided on priority basis, on 14.2.2005, on the top of the list subject to part-heard.

The Writ Appeal, therefore, stands dismissed. No costs.

_____

DEVINDER GUPTA, C.J.

7.2.2005.

VR _____

M.NARAYANA REDDY, J.

To

1. The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Mandal Parishad, Nadendla, Guntur District.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Guntur.
3 .The District Collector & Magistrate, Guntur District, Guntur.

4. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5. The Section Officer, Posting Section, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.

6. Two CD copies.